

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	E FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/635,501	08/07/200	Kazuhiro Kosugi	240770US0DIV	1416	
22850	7590 08/	2/2004	EXAM	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			TARAZANO, DON	TARAZANO, DONALD LAWRENCE	
1940 DUK ALEXANI	E STREET ORIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1773		
				DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/635,501 KOSUGI ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit D. Lawrence Tarazano 1773 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) D. Lawrence Tarazano. (3)\_\_\_\_\_. (2) Dan Evans. Date of Interview: 28 July 2004. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 22-50. Identification of prior art discussed: Art of record. Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. g) $\boxtimes$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed how the instant claims relate to the claims of the two related cases. Art was applied against the composition claims 41+, the applicants stated that they would consider removing the optional language making the graft polymer a required element and/or taking another approach with different claim language. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required